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We are grateful to Dr. Bing Liu (Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for pointing out errors in Fig. 6 and supplementary Fig. S1. Supplementary Fig. S1 for Arenaria brevipetala mistakenly exhibited the results of palaeodistribution modeling during the LGM (same as Fig. 6) rather than that for the Mid-Holocene. Please note that this error did not affect any of the statements and conclusions in the main text.

Unfortunately, there are also errors in Fig. 6 related to the boundary line located east of the China-India border and north of the China-Myanmar border for two illustrations of A. brevipetala (“present” scenario and compared between “present” vs. LGM based on the MIROC-ESM Model), which caused a great dissonance with other correct illustrations. Considering the shared content in Fig. 6 and supplementary Fig. S1, we present a single figure that combines the three scenarios (“present”, LGM and Mid-Holocene) and contains the corrections to both Fig. 6 and supplementary Fig. S1 for A. brevipetala. We apologize for our negligence, and we hope that our correction will not cause readers any trouble.

Fig. 6 & suppl. Fig. S1 (combined, top rows only). Potential distribution ranges of Arenaria brevipetala at the “present”, during the last glacial maximum (LGM; ca. 22 ka) and during the Mid-Holocene (ca. 6 ka) based on the outputs of CCSM4 and MIROC-ESM. The logistic value of habitat suitability is shown by the colored gradient scale bars. Red dots correspond to all available collection locations used in ecological niche modeling. Maps of the potential stable, reduced and expanded areas compared between two scenarios (“present” vs. the LGM and “present” vs. Mid-Holocene) are also displayed.